Table 1. Experimental annual forecasts for 1997 and 1998 from ensemble forecasts

Configuration	Iteration in 1000	Forecast rainfall in mm 1997	1998
	5	860	946
	10	862	949
	15	861	947
A	20	860	944
	25	863	942
	30	864	943
	35	863	945
Mean (mm)		862	945
Standard deviation (mm)		1.5	2.8
	5	863	946
	10	865	949
	15	859	948
B	20	860	941
	25	863	943
	30	866	945
	35	864	939
Mean (mm)		863	944
Standard deviation (mm)		2.4	3.4
	5	860	944
	10	863	940
	15	864	945
C	20	865	949
	25	865	946
	30	866	943
	35	865	948
Mean (mm)		864	945
Standard deviation (mm)		1.9	2.8
Final mean (mm)		863	945
Final standard deviation (mm)		2.1	2.8

number of forecasts for each year by varying the number of iterations. The network configuration, as well as other network parameters were identical to those used for statistical evaluation of the network for 73 hindcasts. The range of iteration itself was determined as that which provided the best result (minimum absolute error) in case of the 73 hindcasts. For clarity of presentation and to indicate the dispersion (standard deviation) of the forecast with the number of iterations, we present in Table 1 the mean and the standard deviation of the ensemble forecast, for three network configurations. The experimental forecasts quoted here are the final mean of all these ensemble forecasts. The (mean) standard deviation of the forecasts thus provides a measure of precision of our forecasts.

Once again we want to emphasize that this forecast is a purely experimental one, to provide an objective evaluation of the forecast skill of our method; it is thus not meant for any operational use. A general weakness of the method is that it often fails to capture very large (more than twice the standard deviation) departures from the mean, a weakness shared by most statistical methods. It should be noted that 1997 is also predicted to have a normal monsoon, which will be the tenth consecutive normal monsoon. In contrast, 1998 is predicted to have an excess monsoon. It will be interesting to check next year how our forecast compares with observations.

1. Gowariker, V., Thapliyal, V., Kulshrestha, S. M., Mandal, G. S., Sen Roy, N. and Sikka, D. R., *Mausam*, 1991, **42**, 125–130.
2. Goswami, P. and Srividya, *Curr. Sci.*, 1996, **70**, 447–457.
3. Navone, H. D. and Ceccatto, H. A., *Climate Dynam.*, 1994, **10**, 305–312.
4. Goswami, P., *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 1996, (communicated).
5. Goswami, P., *Curr. Sci.*, 1996, 706; Goswami, P., *Curr. Sci.*, 1996, 70.
6. Parthasarathy, B., Munot, A. A. and Kothawala, D. R., IITM Research Report No. RR-065, 1995.
7. Thapliyal, V., 1997, private communication.

PRASHANT GOSWAMI
PRADEEP KUMAR

CSIR Centre for Mathematical Modelling and Computer Simulation, Bangalore 560 037, India

Temporal variation in gravity field during solar eclipse on 24 October 1995

Solar eclipses provide an unique opportunity for the study of celestial phenomena such as different parts of the sun like corona and chromosphere, its atmosphere and their interaction with the earth and its atmosphere. The solar eclipse on 24 October 1995 starting from sunrise at Iran and ending at sunset at the Pacific Ocean provided a 46 km wide strip for approximately 1800 km in India from Neem Ka Thana (Western Rajasthan) to Diamond Harbour (West Bengal) where total solar eclipse was observed for some time between 7.22 am to 10.30 am (ref. 1). This solar eclipse was unique in several ways due to social consciousness and several scientific experiments were conducted which provided several interesting results[2].

During this period of solar eclipse, we happened to be at Dhoraji (21°44′; 70°27′;